G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1907
(Inwrai)

File No. 130

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Revision of the Scale of expenditure of the Sri Gadidam Venkatramanaswami temple at Devaramadihalli, Bagepalli Taluk.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES
	M 7 164 – 13
	730 – 18

Muzrai Secretariat | File No of 190

Note Continued —

Government in their Ro. no 4415-6 dt 27 May 1905 sanctioned the entertainment of an additional hand on Rs 2/- per mensem

The Deputy Comr now states that as the temple has become one of importance, the Committee of the temple have resolved that an additional Establishment consisting of Bhajantries &c at an annual cost of Rs 81/- is very necessary and solicits sanction to the proposal He proposes that the addl cost may be met from the annual savings of the temple

Present scale —			Proposed scale.		
1 archak — on Rs	36-0-0	per annum	1 archak on Rs	36-0-0	per annum
1 waterman "	24-0-0	"	1 waterman	24-0-0	"
Nitzakatle Charges	59-10-0	"	Nitzakatle Charges	59-10-0	"
Hechukatle "	121-13-3	"	Hechukatle "	121-13-3	"
1 Sweeper "	15-0-0	"	1 Sweeper	36-0-0	"
			3 Bhajantries	36-0-0	"
			1 flowerman	12-0-0	"
			Lightpiping charges	12-0-0	"
Total Rs.	256-7-3	per annum.	Total Rs.	337-7-3	per annum

The excess cost over the sanctioned

Scale will be Rs 81/- per annum.

Submd with a Draft requesting the Hn Dy Comm. to submit a Statement showing the receipts from different sources for each of the years from 1903 when the new scale of expenditure was sanctioned, the actual expenditure and the surplus that remained to the credit of the institution.

Issue

30/5/08

Note continued:-

As called for, the Deputy Comr submits a statement showing the surplus of the temple. From the statement, it is observed that the addl cost proposed to be incurred by the Dy Comr may be met from the balance of the amount available at the credit of the temple.

with a draft ~~[illegible]~~ calling for fur

sue

Serial No. 4 To

The Deputy Commr, Kolar Dt

No 1071, dated 7 9 08

Requests the submission of a fresh statement showing the actual receipts and expenditure of the Gadridam Sri Ven Kalaramanaswamy temple in the Bagepalli Taluk, under the several heads for the 5 years from 1903-04 to 1907-08, noting therein the authority under which the excess expenditure was incurred over the tasdik allotment

5 From

The Deputy Commr, Kolar Dt

No 5332 dated 8 2 09

Furnishes the statement called for and states that in cases not covered by the tasdik allotment necessary sanction has been obtained - that of Govt in cases of Jatra expenses and that of the Deputy Commissioner in the case of minor repairs

Subd with a draft sanct. the Commr.

Sd 3/2/09 - I approve this draft & have approved cattle for further instns

B. 4/4/09

Sus

6 To the Deputy Commr, Kolar Dt

No 1535 / Inms 130-07 Dt 7th April 1909

Enquiries of the proposal made for raising the sweeper's pay to Rs 36/- a year and making it equal to the Archaka's pay and greater than the Pariharaka's pay will not

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Misc File No. 130 of 1907

Serial No.

cause dissatisfaction

7 From the Deputy Comm^r, Kolar Dt

No 8431/272 dated 22nd April 1909

states in reply that a whole time servant is necessary not only to keep the temple neat and tidy but also to attend to the lighting of the temple in the evening and to watch the temple both day and night, and it is therefore that his pay is proposed to be raised ~~to Rs~~ from Rs 15 to Rs 36 per annum, and that the Archak and the waterman have no reason to feel dissatisfied as they have to attend only to certain specific duties and at appointed hours in the day.

The draft already put up is re-submitted with slight alterations.

W 29.4.09

Under Secretary's note

Stub D

The history of this institution is given in ~~this~~ Circular No - 1908-9/Misc 1298 of 28 10 1903 wherein it has been stated that under no circumstances should the annual expenditure exceed the annual income -

The sources of income are (1) the fixed grant of Rs 1-7-3 a month (2). contributions by pilgrims and strangers from other parts and (3) Extra collections. The list shows that items 2 and 3 have yielded not less than Rs. 100 a year

Stub E

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

the last 5 years - The proposed expenditure of Rs 337-7-3 may well be sanctioned.

535 - Submitted to Dewan Manager Secy Commissioner

Abstract

28/4/1879

I presume the scale will be reduced if there is a fall in the income. A caution to this effect may perhaps be inserted.

By the way, is the levy of jatra fees an item to be depended on? Unless it is for admission on lands belonging to the Temple it seems hardly legal unless it falls under the Ds L. Bds Regn.

1/5/79

Office. Mr Arunachalam Rao says telling me that in ~~the case of~~ connection with the Chamundi Hills cattle fair the present Dewan of

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

The Finance Commissioner said the Jodhpur contribution should be debited to District Funds. Please put up this file to revise the draft rules.

8/5/1909

Note continued

~~that this extraordinary expenditure for this year should not exceed this year's income, has already been laid down. The Correspondence in this connection which is in the file may be referred to. I submit the caution recorded therein~~

In accordance with the first para of the Commissioner's order a caution has been inserted.

As regards the second para I submit herewith L.B. R.G. No. 252 of 1905-6 and invite reference to the last Menzies Secretary's note thereon. The case of the Jagadiam Venkataramanamma Trust is also referred to. Mr Ananthaiyar Rao is right in saying that "the distinct understanding with which the transfer took place was that the cattle owners and traders cheerfully agreed to the payment when they were made to understand that the collections would be appropriated solely for the benefit of the Taluk". I must however frankly admit that in this present case the same understanding has been referred to in the Govt. order (Ms. D) on the Board's letter recommending the levy of fees. There are other cases in which the Board's letters clearly say that the people have no objection to the proposed fees. Such files are submitted for reference.

(1) 96-08
(2) 213-08.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 130 of 1907

Serial No.

It has been usual to ~~[illegible]~~ drop of fees for collection, ie of a month. While the income in this general case is over Rs 1000 the expenditure is only a third of that. Therefore draft may be sanctioned.

Resubmitted to the Commissioner with his remarks —

10/5/1907

I am not sure if we should take up the questions raised by me for solution at present. In clerkcraft matters, it seems to be better to let well alone ~~[illegible]~~ in many cases, unless driven to reconsider first principles. If the Dewan approves, the draft order may be issued.

12/5/9

789
14-5-07

Draft approved by the Dewan
23/5/07

Submitted to the Commissioner for info.

593

2/6/9

Issued separate instructions
3/6/1907

B

Note—Contin sheet.

GPB—50,00017—3 07

Revenue F 130-07-1

190

From C. Srikantesvara Aiyar
Deputy C. N.B.

To A. Ananthaswami
Secretary to the Gov.
Mysore. Revenue
Department.

Dated 14th May 08. Bang

Despatched

Received

General No. 3104
No 332

Enclosure No. Re Sth Arcot file

Submitting for
of Sanction a
to maintain add
establishment at
annual cost of Rs 87
for the temple of
Gadadam Sri -
Ven
at Devaraya
Bappalli Taluk

4662
18/5/08
MAIZDAI SEC

Ref ces

C.M.Mar

Please continue note
of orders

18/5/08

note continued 18/5/08

25/5/08

No. 8004/332

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Kolar District,
Dated 14th May 1908

From

C. SRIKANTESVARA AIYAR ESQR., B.A. B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To L. Ananthasami Rao Esq. B.A.
Secretary to the Government of
Inam Department
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to Govt Proceedings No 44-6 dated 27th May 1905, sanctioning entertainment of a Paricharak for the Gad Sri Venkataramaswami Temple at Devarayadudipalli, Bagepalli Taluk of Rs 2/- per mensem, in addition to the scale of expenditure originally in Government Proceedings No 908-9/J. 72-98 dated 28th October 1903, I have the honour to state that the temple in has risen in importance considerably, as such the Committee of the temple have in their meeting held on the 1st 1908, resolved that an additional establishment consisting of Bhajantris, flowerman at an annual cost of Rs 81/- was necessary for which sanction has now applied for.

2. The Sub Division Officer, Chikballapur also recommends the above proposal for sanction

3. A proposal for the appointment of Bhajantris was once before made by the Amildar in 1905, but as the funds at the credit of the Institution were not sufficient to meet the additional charge, the question was then deferred. I recommend therefore that Government sanction may be obtained for the additional establishment now proposed, as it is now considered quite necessary and as the funds of the temple which are increasing every year, can admit of the increased expenditure now proposed, being incurred. The balance of funds at the credit of the Institution is reported to be Rs 5,520/- at the end of ~~February~~ 1908

4. Vernacular Correspondence ending with Chikballapur Sub Division Officer's Yadi No 789, together with a proposition statement showing the present and proposed scale of expenditure is herewith submitted.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

[illegible]

For Deputy Commissioner
[illegible]

[illegible]

To

The Dy Commissioner
Kolar District

30/5

No. 5469 W/5.6.08.
Ex el file

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 8004/352 - Dy the 11th May 1908 forwarding proposals for additional Establishment at an annual cost of Rs 81 in excess of the scale of expenditure sanctioned in the Govt Pro: No 908-9 of the 28th Sept. 1903, in the Sadidam Sri Venkataramana Swamy temple at Devaraya Sudipalli, Bagepalli Taluk, I am directed to request you to be so good as to furnish this Office with a

Statement shewing the
receipts from different
sources for each of the
years from 1903 when the
new scale of expenditure
was sanctioned, the actual
expenditure and the
surplus that remained
to the credit of the institution,
Honable Govt to pass
final orders regarding
the recurring additional
charge of Rs 81 per annum
over the scale already sanctioned

2. The enclos: to your letter
under reply are herewith
returned for refce & resubmission

Yours &c

30/5/08

Secy Mint Dept

Ainu F 130-07-3.

1908-09

From
Chikantesvara Aigar Esq
B.A. B.L.
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar

To
The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore: Mugrai Dept
Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 6th July '08.

Received

General No. 128

No. 9

Enclosure No. Nil file stone

125
8/7/08

Submitting a State-
-ment showing the
actual expenditure
and surplus of Sri
Venkataramanaswamy
temple at Devaraja-
-pudupalli, Bangarpet
taluk.

Refer
ana
8/7/08
W

note cont^d & sub^d
10/7/08

No. 128/9

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Kolar District,
Dated the 6th July

From

C. SRIKANTESVARA AIYAR [illegible],
Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Murzrai Deptt.
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 5469 dated 5th Instant I have the honour to submit herewith a statement in original received from the Taluk showing the receipts from different sources for each of the years from 1903 when the new scale of expenditure was sanctioned and the actual expenditure and the surplus that remained at the credit of Sri Venkatramana Swami temple at Devarayagudipalli Bagepalli Taluk.

The enclosures received with the above are herewith resubmitted.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant.

[signature]
D Comm

[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]			2710	11 3	-	-	- -	- - - - - - - -			2710 11
1903-0	241 8	918 9 9	1160	1 9	03-0	134	10	191 3 3	- - -	325 3	834 46
04-0	241 8	840 5 7	1081	3 7	04-05	134	0	1971 10	527 4	3841	697 15
05-06	241 8	1055 2 7	1296	0 7	05-0	134	10	1676 40	- -	34	954 103
06-07	241 8	1000 7 3	1241	5 3	06-0	134	10	208 8 11	16 - -	359 1	88 124
07-0	241 8	100 2 3	1243	10 3	07-0	134	10	202 3	- - -	337 3	906 3
[illegible]	1207 8	4816 11 5	8734	8	-	673		966 107	1087	17	31 6985
			[illegible]	190							

Mu/ 1 A] Jud 3 F 130-07-4 -6

190

From

To
The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District

Dated

Despatched 7.9.08

Received

General No. 1071
No.

Enclosure No. 1 table

Sir,

With reference to ~~proceed~~ corr
ending with your letter no 128/9,
dated 6th July 1908, forwarding
proposals for entertaining add.l
establishment at an annual
cost of Rs 81/- in the Sri Venkata-
ramanaswami temple at Deva-
royagudipalli in the Bagepalli
Taluk, I am directed to in-
vite attention to Govt Pro: n
908-9, dated 28th October 1903,
from which it will be observed
that the scale of charges in the

GPB—3,000—21-7-06

temple has been fixed at Rs 232-7-3 per annum as noted in the margin. In the subsequent ~~order~~ Pro: ~~Go~~ No 4415 6, dated 27th May 1905, the Govt sanctioned the entertainment of a paricharak on pay of Rs 2/- per mensem or Rs 24/- per annum with effect from 1st May 1903

Paditana	59 10 —
Rathotana	121 — 13 — 3
Archak	36 — —
Sweeper	15 — —
	232 7 3

The expenditure of the temple should therefore be ~~been~~ ~~Rs 232-7-3 per annum~~ ~~to 1905, and~~ Rs 256-7-3. ~~Accordingly~~.

From the Amildar's statement submitted with ~~the~~ ~~your letter~~ your letter under reply, it is however observed that the expenditure has exceeded the sanctioned allotment every year, and that the actual realizations from the temple fatras are in excess of the amounts reported to this Office. I am therefore to request that you will be so good as to submit a fresh ~~statement~~ shewing the actual receipts and expenditure of the temple under the several heads

for the 5 years from 1903-04 to 1907-08, noting therein the authority under which the excess expenditure was incurred ~~in~~ over the tasdik allotment. The favour of an early reply is requested.

2. The enclosures are returned herewith for reference and resubmission.

I have &c,

[illegible]
31/8
for Secretary.
[illegible]

1909 D 837

From The Deputy Commissioner Kolar District

To The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Mysore Branch

Dated 8th February 1909.

Despatched 9

Received

General No. 5332

No. 195

Enclosure No Two in one file

Subject.

Regarding the proposal for entertaining additional establishment at an amount cost of Rs 81/- in the Sri Venkataramana Swamy Temple at Devarayagudipalli in the Bagepally Taluk.

Refer
GA
31
11/2/09
MY

5332
195

Office of the Deputy
Commissioner, Kolar District.
Dated, Kolar, 8/9th February 09.

From,

C Srikantesvara Aiyar Esq.,
B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To.

K. S. Chendrasekhara Iyer Esq.
B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore, Munzrai Branch.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No: 1071 dated 7th September 1908, calling for certain additional information in re the proposal for entertaining additional establishment at an annual cost of Rs 81/- in the Sri Venkataramanaswamy temple at Devarayagudihalli in the Bagepally Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith a fresh statement showing the actual receipts and expenditure of the temple under the several heads for the 5 years from 1903-04 to 1907-08 and to state that in cases not covered by the Tasdik allotment, necessary sanction has been obtained - that of Government in the

cases

Case of jatra expenses and of the Deputy Commissioner in the case of minor repairs.

2. The enclosures received with the above and the Vernacular file of Correspondence ending with Bagepalli Amildar's report No: 44 dated 22nd November 1908 are herewith resubmitted for kind reference and obliging return when done with.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

S. Shamanna.
For Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

mk/

To,

The Deputy Commr.
Kolar District

Cp. No. 153
Misc F 130-07-5
7.4.00.

Sir,

With reference to Correspondence ending with your letter No 5332/195 dated 9th Feb: 1909 in ~~regard to the~~ the matter of additional Establishment for the Sri Gadidam Venkatramanaswamy temple at Devaraya gudipalli in the Bagepalli Taluk, I have the honor to enquire if the proposal of raising the sweeper's pay to Rs 36/- a year and thus making it equal to the archak's pay and greater than the paricarak's pay

will not cause dis-
satisfaction.

~~I have the~~ The enclosures containing also with the Dy. Comr's file, received
with the above are
herewith returned for
reference and re-sub-
-mission ~~with~~ of necessary
papers.

I have &c

Dft

G. 4/4/1909
For Secretary

D/1380
09
F/130-07-7

From
The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

To
The Secretary to the Govt.
of Mysore, Genl. and Rev.
Departments, Muzrai
Branch,
Bangalore

Dated 22nd
Despatched 24 April 09
Received

General No. 8431
No. 272 2 annexes
Enclosure No. 1 file

Furnishing certain additional information called for in the matter of additional establishment for the Sri Gadidam Venkataramana Swami temple at Devaragadipalli in the Bagepally Taluk.

Verify & refer. C/A
Voluntary

8431/272 Encl 2 and file

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Kolar district.

Dated Kolar, the 22nd April 1909.

From

C. Srikanteswara Iyer Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner, Kolar district.

To

K. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments, Muzrai Branch

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1535 / Muz F. 130-07-5 dated the 7th instant, calling

Calling for certain additional information in the matter of additional establishment for the Sri Gadidam Venkataramanaswami temple at Devaragudipalli, in the Bagepalli Taluk I have the honor to state that in consideration of the requirement of a whole time servant, not only to keep the temple neat and tidy but also to attend to the lighting of the temple in the evening and to watch both day and night, it has been proposed to increase the Sweeper's pay from Rs 15/- to Rs 36/- per annum.

2. As the Archak and the waterman, have to attend only to certain specific duties at appointed hours,

there

there is no reason for their dissatisfaction

3. As the car festival of the temple is coming off in the beginning of May, I solicit the favour of obtaining early orders to my proposal.

The vernacular file returned with the above, is herewith resubmitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S. Shamanna
for Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District

NB

G.R.S. 10

Z

S. 28]

File No 130-07
Serial No. 8

ary No. of letter replied to——

Z

G.R.S. 10

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
( )

, the 190

Date of issue 7. 6. 1909.

Signed by H. A. S. Iyer

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

READ—

Govt Pro: No 908-9 Mun. F. 12-98 dated 28th October 1903, sanctioning a scale of expenditure amounting to Rs 232-7-3 per annum in the Sri Gadidam Venkata ramanaswami temple at Devaroya-Sudipalli, Bagepalli Taluk.

~~ORDER THEREON.~~—No. Govt Pro: No. 4415-6, dated 27th May 1905, sanctioning the entertainment of a Paricharak on Rs 2/- per mensem for the temple.

Corrce ending with letter No ~~5332~~ 8431 / 272-~~195~~ dated ~~8th February~~ 22nd April 1909, from the Dy Commr, Kolar District, submitting proposals for revising the scale of expenditure in

over

SUBJECT

re the Inst.n

Order thereon :- no. 2198-9 / 130-07-8 -d/ 7.6.09

The Deputy Commissioner reports that the Committee of the temple in question have resolved that an add.l Establishment costing Rs 81/- per annum as noted in the margin is absolutely necessary. He further states that the Inst.n has become one of importance, and recommends therefore that the Committee's resolution may be sanctioned

3 Bhajantries	Rs 36
1 Flower man	12
Increase of pay to Sweeper	21
Lighting charges	12
	Rs 81

2. Under these circs, the Govt are pleased to sanction the Dy Comm'rs recommendation and direct that the excess expenditure of Rs 81/- be met as suggested by him from the annual income of the temple.

Mr. D. Lewis's letter is in F. paras 3 of his for.d order No-9 dd 28.10.1903 wherein it has been laid down that the expenditure of such year should not be in excess of that year. It should be understood that the scale of expenditure is left as it should be out of income

3. The scale of expenditure of the Inst.n amounting to Rs 337-7-3 as now revised and sanctioned will be as noted in the margin.

Paditana	Rs 59-10-0 p a
Rathotsava Expenses	121-13-3. "
Archak's pay	36-0-0 "
Sweeper's pay	36-0-0 "
Parichanakis pay	24-0-0 "
3 Bhajantries	36-0-0 "
1 Flowerman	12-0-0 "
Lighting charges	12-0-0 "
	Rs 337-7-3

(5)
29 4.1909

12/5/9

29 May

To
The Dy Comm.r Kolar Dt, Ref.d enclosures
Secretary to Govt. 29.4.09
Secretary
Mysore Govt.

absence of the latter. An allotment of Rs 50/- may be made towards lighting during the time of the jatra and Rs 1-8-0 for daily lighting.

As the temple is in need of some more land and as provision has to be made for the acquisition of some land round the temple, and for the construction of a maharam for the convenience of the devotees which is also essential, the temple cannot afford to pay a contribution for the Sungrai Establishment Fund.

3. As regards the other proposals, I agree to their adoption.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
Ag. Fr offg. Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District (Absent from Station)

WD 0463—GPB—3,00,000—21-7-17—S

1919

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To
The Inspector Superintendent
in Mysore, Bangalore

Dated 28th April '19.
Despatched
Received
General No. RGE 2980.
No. 17 18
Enclosure No.

30-4-19
H. M.

CK
15/6
5/5/19

Sir,

With reference to your letter no 2217 dated 25th April 1918, forwarding for my opinion the proposals relating to the revision the Scale of Sri Venkataramanswamy at Dommayagundipally Bagepally Taluk, I the honour to state that the pay of the Patch may also be raised to Rs 5/- as he is re to attend to the duties the Archaka during

Scale of expenditure fixed in G.O. No. 1519-21/Misc: 169-13-2 dated 28-11-13.
in Sri Venkataramana Swamy temple at Devarayadipalli

Receipts	Expenditure.	Amount Per	f.	Remarks
Estimated income Rs. 1734/-.	Nitiyapuja.	59	10	-
	1 Archak.	60	-	-
	1 Parichaka.	48	-	-
	1 Sweeper.	60	-	-
	3. Pots of oil	60	-	-
	1 Flower garland.	24	-	-
	Lighting the gas.	12	-	-
	Akhanda.	45	-	-
	Utsavam on full moon days at Rs. 3/- each.	33		-
	Hetchukatte.			
	Ugadi	5	-	-
	Sri Ramanavami	2	-	-
	His Highness' Birthday	3	-	-
	Navaratri.	10	-	-
	Vijayadasami	5	-	-
	Bali Padyami	1	-	-
	Uttama Ekadasi.	3	-	-
	Krittika Utsavam	2	-	-
	Dhanurmasa.	15	-	-
	Mukkoti Ekadasi.	2	-	-
	Rathasaptami.	6	-	-
	Car-festival.	120	-	-
	Printing Charges	45	-	-
	Commission to Rent-collectors	20	-	-
	Sanitary Charges during jatra	8	-	-
	Lighting Charges during jatra	10	-	-
	Stationery	2	-	-
	Repairs to Vessels, purchase of ropes and other contingencies,	42	0	-
	Grand Total	700	-	-

62

6
12 | 13
8-10

9-11-6 x 12
116-10-0

1

21 x 12
252

12) 59-10 (4-1
48
11 x 16
176
10
186
12
6

Rs -00
269-6-0
252-10-0
116-10-0
700-0-0
331-6

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai, dated 28th November 1913.

READ—

Government Proceedings No 2198-9—Muz. F 130-07, dated 7th June 1909, sanctioning a revised scale of expenditure amounting to Rs 337-7-3 per annum in the Sri Gadidam Venkataramanaswami temple at Devarayagudipalli in the Bagepalli Taluk

Letter No. 7163—258, dated the 8th May 1913, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, reporting, after personal inspection, that the institution is steadily raising in importance, that the Committee members of the institution and the temple servants prayed for increased remuneration to the temple servants and for provision being made for certain Hetchukatle services, etc., in the institution and submitting for sanction a scale of expenditure amounting to Rs. 700 the income of the temple being estimated at Rs. 1,734 per annum.

No. 1519-21—MUZ. 169-13-2, DATED BANGALORE, 28TH NOVEMBER 1913.

ORDER THEREON.—The revised scale of expenditure proposed by the Deputy Commissioner and appended to the order is suitable, and is accordingly sanctioned.

K. R. SRINIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, returning the records.
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.
The Muzrai Superintendent in Mysore.

Exd.—C. R.

Scale of expenditure in the Sri Gadidam Venkataramanaswami temple at Devarayagudipalli, Bagepalli Taluk.

	Per annum.		
	Rs.	a.	p.
Nithyakatle	59	10	0
1 Archak	60	0	0
1 Paricharak	48	0	0
1 Sweeper	60	0	0
3 Bhajantries	60	0	0
1 Flowerman	24	0	0
Lighting charges	12	0	0
Akhanda	45	0	0
Utsavam on full-moon days at Rs. 3 each ...	33	0	0
Hechukatle—			
Ugadi	5	0	0
Sri Ramanavami	2	0	0
His Highness the Maharaja's Birthday ...	3	0	0
Navaratri	10	0	0
Vijayadasami	5	0	0
Bali Padyami	1	0	0
Uttana Dwadasi	3	0	0
Krittika Utsavam	2	0	0
Dhanurmasa	15	0	0
Mukkoti Dwadasi	2	0	0
Rathasapthami	3	0	0
Car festival	120	0	0
Printing charges	45	0	0
Commission to rent collectors ...	20	0	0
Sanitary charges during jatra ...	8	0	0
Lighting charges during jatra ...	10	0	0
Stationery, etc.	2	0	0
Repairs to vessels, purchase of ropes and other contingencies, etc. ..	42	6	0
Grant Total ...	700	0	0

1913-14

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGH
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSO

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 28th November 1913

No. 1519-21
Muz. 169-13-

Sanctioning a revised scale of expenditure in the Sri Gadidam Venkataramanaswami temple at Devarayagudipalli in the Bagepalli Taluk.

GPB—8 12 13—200